



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,199	07/14/2006	Ralf Backer	04-H01US	2780
7590	12/08/2008			
Michael M Rickin Abb Inc Legal Department 4U6 29801 Euclid Avenue Wickliffe, OH 44092-1832			EXAMINER SUGLO, JANET L	
			ART UNIT 2857	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
12/08/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/586,199	Applicant(s) BACKER ET AL.
	Examiner JANET L. SUGLO	Art Unit 2857

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 7-25 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 7-25 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-166/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 6, 2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The action is responsive to the Amendment filed on October 6, 2008. Claims 7-25 are pending. Claim 7 has been amended. Claims 1-6 have been cancelled. Claims 13-25 are new.

3. The amendments filed October 6, 2008 are sufficient to overcome the prior objections to the specification.

4. The amendments filed October 6, 2008 are sufficient to overcome the prior 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 7-25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki (US Patent 4,969,363) in view of O'Donnell et al. (US Patent 6,611,770) (hereinafter "O'Donnell").

With respect to **claim 7**, Mochizuki teaches a method for operation of a flowmeter that uses magnetic induction to measure only the flow rate of an electrically conductive fluid flowing through said flowmeter and provide a signal representative of said flow rate (Mochizuki: Abstract, col 1, ln 8-10; col 4, ln 25-31), said flowmeter having a supply for providing power to produce a magnetic field used in said flow measurement (Mochizuki: col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50), said method comprising:

determining from said signal representative of said flow rate an instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68); and

adjusting in response to a conductivity signal said power provided by said supply so that said power is supplied inverse to said instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68). Mochizuki does not explicitly state that the power is inversely supplied in response to the signal to noise ratio. Mochizuki does state that the power is inversely supplied in response to the conductivity signal. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, In 12-30).

With respect to **claim 8**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating (i.e., pointing out) a value that represents said determined instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, In 64-68).

With respect to **claim 9**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, In 11-26; col 5, In 46-50).

With respect to **claim 10**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, In 11-26; col 5, In 46-50).

With respect to **claim 11**, Mochizuki teaches generating a warning when said determined conductivity indicates that the voltage has exceeded a predetermined value (Mochizuki: col 4, In 41- col 5, In 21). Mochizuki explains that an alarm is issued when the resistance of the fluid (inversely related to the conductivity) exceeds a predetermined value which in turn increases the voltage drop. This situation also indicates that there is not enough water in the pipe to measure the flow rate. Mochizuki

does not state that the signal to noise ratio indicates the noise voltage has exceeded a predetermined value. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). O'Donnell further teaches that an empty pipe condition produces high levels of noise and an alarm level is produced when the conduction is inadequate for accurate flow measurement (O'Donnell: col 4, ln 66 – col 5, ln 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

With respect to **claim 12**, Mochizuki further teaches switching off said power supply when said flow rate is zero or virtually zero (Mochizuki: col 7, ln 51-66).

With respect to **claim 13**, Mochizuki teaches a method for operation of a flowmeter that uses magnetic induction to measure only the flow rate of an electrically conductive fluid flowing through said flowmeter and provide a signal representative of said flow rate (Mochizuki: Abstract, col 1, ln 8-10; col 4, ln 25-31), said flowmeter having a supply for providing power to produce a magnetic field used in said flow measurement (Mochizuki: col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50), said method comprising:
determining from said signal representative of said flow rate an instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68); and

adjusting in response to a conductivity signal said power provided by said supply so that said supply supplies less power when said conductivity signal is higher and more power is supplied when said conductivity signal is lower (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68). Mochizuki does not explicitly state that less power is supplied when said instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is higher and more power is supplied when said instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is lower. Mochizuki does state that the power is inversely supplied in response to the conductivity signal. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

With respect to **claim 14**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating (i.e., pointing out) a value that represents said determined instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 64-68).

With respect to **claim 15**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50).

With respect to **claim 16**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50).

With respect to **claim 17**, Mochizuki teaches generating a warning when said determined conductivity indicates that the voltage has exceeded a predetermined value (Mochizuki: col 4, ln 41- col 5, ln 21). Mochizuki explains that an alarm is issued when the resistance of the fluid (inversely related to the conductivity) exceeds a predetermined value which in turn increases the voltage drop. This situation also indicates that there is not enough water in the pipe to measure the flow rate. Mochizuki does not state that the signal to noise ratio indicates the noise voltage has exceeded a predetermined value. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). O'Donnell further teaches that an empty pipe condition produces high levels of noise and an alarm level is produced when the conduction is inadequate for accurate flow measurement (O'Donnell: col 4, ln 66 – col 5, ln 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

With respect to **claim 18**, Mochizuki further teaches switching off said power supply when said flow rate is zero or virtually zero (Mochizuki: col 7, ln 51-66).

With respect to **claim 19**, Mochizuki teaches a method for operation of a flowmeter that uses magnetic induction to measure only the flow rate of an electrically conductive fluid flowing through said flowmeter and provide a signal representative of said flow rate (Mochizuki: Abstract, col 1, ln 8-10; col 4, ln 25-31), said flowmeter having a supply for providing power to produce a magnetic field used in said flow measurement (Mochizuki: col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50), said method comprising:

determining from said signal representative of said flow rate an instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68); and

adjusting in response to a conductivity signal said power provided by said supply so that more power is supplied by said supply when said conductivity signal is low than is supplied by said supply when said conductivity signal is high (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68). Mochizuki does not explicitly state that more power is supplied by said supply when said signal-to-noise ratio is low than is supplied by said supply when said signal-to-noise ratio is high. Mochizuki does state that the power is inversely supplied in response to the conductivity signal. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

With respect to **claim 20**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating (i.e., pointing out) a value that represents said determined instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 64-68).

With respect to **claim 21**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50).

With respect to **claim 22**, Mochizuki further teaches indicating a value that represents said provided power (Mochizuki: The power signal is indicated to the circuit to which the signal is provided. col 3, ln 11-26; col 5, ln 46-50).

With respect to **claim 23**, Mochizuki teaches generating a warning when said determined conductivity indicates that the voltage has exceeded a predetermined value (Mochizuki: col 4, ln 41- col 5, ln 21). Mochizuki explains that an alarm is issued when the resistance of the fluid (inversely related to the conductivity) exceeds a predetermined value which in turn increases the voltage drop. This situation also indicates that there is not enough water in the pipe to measure the flow rate. Mochizuki does not state that the signal to noise ratio indicates the noise voltage has exceeded a

predetermined value. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). O'Donnell further teaches that an empty pipe condition produces high levels of noise and an alarm level is produced when the conduction is inadequate for accurate flow measurement (O'Donnell: col 4, ln 66 – col 5, ln 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

With respect to **claim 24**, Mochizuki further teaches switching off said power supply when said flow rate is zero or virtually zero (Mochizuki: col 7, ln 51-66).

With respect to **claim 25**, Mochizuki further teaches adjusting in response to said conductivity signal said power provided by said supply so that less power is supplied by said supply when said conductivity signal is high than is supplied by said supply when said conductivity signal is low (Mochizuki: col 5, ln 57-68). Mochizuki does not explicitly state that less power is supplied by said supply when said signal-to-noise ratio is high than is supplied by said supply when said signal-to-noise ratio is low. Mochizuki does state that the power is inversely supplied in response to the conductivity signal. O'Donnell states that the conductivity signal corresponds to the signal to noise ratio so that a higher conductivity means a good signal to noise ratio and a lower conductivity

means high noise (O'Donnell: col 2, ln 32-39; col 6, ln 52-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mochizuki to include the signal to noise ratio of O'Donnell because it avoids leakage problems (O'Donnell: col 1, ln 12-30).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 6, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 8 of Arguments filed October 6, 2008 that Mochizuki does not teach:

- (i). the power provided by the power supply is adjusted in response to the determined instantaneous S/N ratio so that the power is supplied inversely proportional to that ratio as called for by amended independent claim 7; or
- (ii). the power supply supplies less power when the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is high and more power when the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is low as called for in new independent claim 13; or
- (iii). the power provided by the supply is adjusted so that more power is supplied by the supply when the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is low than is supplied

by the supply when the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio is high as called in new independent claim 19.

Applicant's arguments are not well taken. As shown in the previous Office Action and as shown above in the rejections of claims 7, 13 and 19, Mochizuki is not relied upon for the entirety of the above limitations. Mochizuki *in combination* with O'Donnell teach all limitations an explanation of which can be found in the rejections above.

Applicant argues on pages 8 and 9 of Arguments filed October 6, 2008 that Mochizuki does not teach *instantaneous* signal-to-noise ratio; however, Applicant's arguments are not well taken. Mochizuki teaches signal to noise ratio at, for example, column 2, ln 22-36 and column 5, lines 64-68. O'Donnell teaches signal to noise ratio at, for example, column 6, lines 52-61. Instantaneous signal to noise ratio is the signal to noise ratio at an instant. Even if the signal to noise ratio in both Mochizuki and O'Donnell is meant to be an average signal to noise ratio or an aggregate signal to noise ratio, if you have a signal to noise ratio value that includes a compilation of multiple signal to noise ratios taken at multiple instants, there is inherently a signal to noise ratio taken at a single instant included.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Droppo et al. (US Patent 7,363,221) teaches a method of noise reduction using instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio as the principal quantity for optimal estimation.

Fleming-Dahl (US Patent 6,442,495) teaches an average signal to noise ratio estimator made up of instantaneous signal to noise ratios.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JANET L. SUGLO whose telephone number is (571)272-8584. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano can be reached on 571-272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JANET L SUGLO/
Examiner, Art Unit 2857

/Hal D Wachsman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857